

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, :
 :
 vs. : NOS. 99-10,887
 :
 TIMOTHY JORDAN, :
 :
 :
 Defendant : 1925(a) OPINION

Date: October 2, 2000

**OPINION IN SUPPORT OF THE ORDER OF JUNE 30, 2000, IN COMPLIANCE WITH
RULE 1925(a) OF THE RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE**

Defendant in the above-captioned matter appeals this Court's Judgment of Sentence dated June 30, 2000, wherein Defendant was sentenced to an aggregate sentence of five to 25 years for multiple counts of arson. Defendant had been found guilty of these and related counts by jury on May 25, 2000.

The Notice of Appeal was filed July 20, 2000. By Order of Court filed July 25, 2000, Defendant was ordered to file a concise statement of the matters complained of on appeal pursuant to Pa. R.A.P. 1925(b) within fourteen days.

As of this date no such statement has been filed. Failure to file the statement as directed may be considered by the appellate court as a waiver of all objections to the Order. *Commonwealth v. Lord*, 719 A.2d 306 (Pa. 1998).

Defendant's failure to timely file the statement deprives this Court of a basis on which to prepare an opinion; even if we attempted to identify issues Defendant wishes to raise, the Superior Court will still find waiver. *Commonwealth v. Kimble*, 756 A.2d 78 (Pa.Super. 2000).

Accordingly, this Court cannot furnish additional support for its sentencing order.¹

BY THE COURT,

William S. Kieser, Judge

cc: Court Administrator
William J. Miele, Esquire
District Attorney
Judges
Nancy M. Snyder, Esquire
Gary L. Weber, Esquire (Lycoming Reporter)

m:\Courts3\ABOpinions\Com v. Jordana opn

¹ We acknowledge that not all transcripts of the trial have been filed. However, Defendant has not requested an extension of time for which to file the concise statement on this basis. Further, appellate counsel represented Defendant at trial; thus it is unlikely this is the basis for failure to file the concise statement.